OSLC 2012 Community Survey



Welcome to the 2012 OSLC Community Survey.

The Survey consists of the following sections of questions:

- * Common Questions
- * Workgroup Participant Questions
- * Specification Implementer Questions
- * End-user Questions
- * Demographic Questions

If you don't identify yourself as a Participant, Implementer, or End-user, you will not be asked questions from those sections.

Even if you've never heard of OSLC until someone asked you to take this survey, your responses are still valuable to the OSLC Community.

Questions marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory. There are very few mandatory questions.

No personally identifying information will be collected in the Survey. Complete Survey results will be made available through open-services.net.

Thank you for your participation.

Common - Awareness of OSLC

*1. Considering software tools, what's your	r organization's primary role?
C Tool integrator	
C Tool provider	
C Tool user	
*2. When did you first hear about OSLC?	
C 2008	O 2011
C 2009	C 2012
C 2010	
*3. How have you heard/learnt about OSLC?	??
☐ Social media	Friend
Webcast	Colleague
☐ I can't remember	open-services.net
☐ jazz.net	Business Partner
Other Conference	☐ IBM Rational Innovate
□ wзc	Article/White Paper
Other (please specify)	

Common - About OSLC

4. Based on your understanding of OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements?

	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - completely	N/A or Don't know
OSLC integration techniques represent a significant improvement over traditional integration techniques.	О	O	O	O	6	0
By using OSLC for software integrations, organizations realize cost savings.	O	O	0	O	O	0
By using OSLC for software integrations, organizations improve their business outcomes.	С	O	O	0	О	C
OSLC integrations help organizations implement leaner and/or more agile processes.	0	O	O	O	0	O
By using OSLC for software integrations, organizations increase the value they produce.	0	O	O	O	0	С
OSLC specifications are completely free for anyone to use.	0	O	0	0	O	0
There are OSLC specifications to address the most important integration scenarios.	О	O	O	0	О	O

Common - About the OSLC Community

5. Based on your understanding of the OSLC Community, to what degree do you agree with the following statements?

	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - completely	N/A or Don't know
If I have questions about anything to do with OSLC, I know where I can get them answered.	O	O	C	0	О	C
I know what the goals and objectives of the OSLC Community are.	0	O	0	O	O	O
The processes and requirements for participation are acceptable.	О	0	С	0	О	O
Anyone can participate in specification development.	0	0	O	O	O	0
The OSLC Community has the right amount of governance.	O	O	0	O	O	O
The OSLC Community is open to new ideas and contributions from any member.	0	0	0	O	O	O

Do you participate in OSLC?

★6. When did you start participating in an OS	LC	workgroup?
C 2012	0	2008
C 2011	0	I haven't participated in OSLC; I might in the future
C 2010	0	I have never considered participating in OSLC
© 2009		

Participants - Workgroups

7. I	n which workgroups have you participated	?	
	Architecture Management		Estimation and Measurement
	Asset Management		Product Lifecycle Management
	Automation		Quality Management
	Change Management		Reporting
	Communications		Requirements Management
	Core		Software Configuration Management
8. C	o you still participate in the workgroups th	at	you selected above?
0	Yes (all selected workgroups)	0	No
0	Yes (some of the selected workgroups)		

ontributed?						
Developing implementa	tions		_	specifications		
Developing scenarios			Selecting s			
Developing specification	1S		☐ Writing ref	erence docum	entation	
Other (please specify)						
vere able to contri	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - completely	N/A or Don't know
During scenario development?	O	0	0	O	0	O
During scenario selection?	O	0	0	0	0	0
During specification development?	O	0	C	O	O	O
During specification review?	0	0	0	0	0	0
During specification inalization (includes mplementations)?	O	O	0	0	O	O
Final specification promotion (includes writing reference documentation)?	0	O	O	0	O	O
Overall, throughout the whole process?	O	0	O	O	О	O
1. Considering you here anything you			e workgroups in	which y	ou have partio	cipated, is

Mailing lists C <	2. How useful have you found the following tools (either provided by open-services.net, r by workgroup participants) for workgroup collaboration? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't kno failing lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	2. How useful have you found the following tools (either provided by open-services.net, r by workgroup participants) for workgroup collaboration? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't known and the following lists	2. How useful have you found the following tools (either provided by open-services.net, r by workgroup participants) for workgroup collaboration? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't knot lailing lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C							
r by workgroup participants) for workgroup collaboration? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't know that the standard of the standard o	r by workgroup participants) for workgroup collaboration? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't knot alling lists O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	r by workgroup participants) for workgroup collaboration? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't known alling lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	r by workgroup participants) for workgroup collaboration? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't knot lailing lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	articipants - T	ools and Col	llaboratio	n			
1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't known N/A or Don't k	1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't known tailing lists	1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't known tailing lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - essential N/A or Don't knot lailing lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	2. How useful h	ave you found	d the follow	ring tools (eithe	er provid	ed by open-se	ervices.net,
Additing lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Asiling lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Asiling lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	laling lists C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	r by workgroup	participants)	for workgr	oup collaborati	ion?		
Conference call CONFERENCE CAL	orum	orum	orum		1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - essential	N/A or Don't know
Conference call Confer	A. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	A. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	onference call C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	failing lists	0	0	О	O	О	0
A. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Instant Messaging/IRC Color	A. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Instant Messaging/IRC COOCOO COOCOO COOCOO COOCOO COOCOO	A. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Instant Messaging/IRC CO C	irect email	orum	O	0	O	0	O	O
Creen sharing Cocolor	Creen sharing Cocolor	Creen sharing Complete Collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated, at there anything you'd like to add? 4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	creen sharing	conference call	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Considering the collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated there anything you'd like to add? 4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	3. Considering the collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated there anything you'd like to add? 4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	3. Considering the collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated there anything you'd like to add? 4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	3. Considering the collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated there anything you'd like to add? 4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	pirect email	0	O	0	0	0	0
3. Considering the collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated there anything you'd like to add? 4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	3. Considering the collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated there anything you'd like to add? 4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	3. Considering the collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated, there anything you'd like to add? 4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	3. Considering the collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated there anything you'd like to add? 4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Blog Planner Blog Planner Blog Blog Planner Blog Blog Planner Blog Blog Blog Planner Blog Blog Blog Blog Blog Blog Planner Blog Bl	creen sharing	0	0	O	0	O	0
4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	4. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration? Calendar Blog Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs) Planner Instant Messaging/IRC Work item/issue tracker	Viki	0	0	0	\odot	0	O
				4. Which addition	onal tools do y	you think w	ould be useful	for work	group collabo	oration?
				Calendar Real-time collaborati	ive editor (e.g. Google		☐ Blog ☐ Planner		group collabo	oration?
				Calendar Real-time collaborati Instant Messaging/IR	ive editor (e.g. Google		☐ Blog ☐ Planner		group collabo	oration?
				Calendar Real-time collaborati Instant Messaging/IR	ive editor (e.g. Google		☐ Blog ☐ Planner		group collabo	oration?

participated, how v	vell do v	ou thi	ink tho	TINA! 6	(1) (5) (5) (1)	: MI					
articipateu, now t	1 - not at		2		3 - somewh		4	5 - c	ompletely	N/A or	Don't know
Addressed the scenarios the workgroup selected at the beginning?	O		O		0		O		O		0
Met the needs of your organization?	0		0		0		0		0		0
Met the needs of the industry?	0		0		0		0		0		0
16. Considering sp			_	ı have	contrib	outed to	that I	have b	een fin	alized	, is
here anything you	ı'd like t	o add	?								
				~							
17. Considering yo	ur expei	rience	es part	<u> </u>	ng in w	orkgrou	ıps, ho	ow like	ely are	the	
17. Considering yo	ur expei	rience	es part	<u> </u>	ng in w	orkgrou	ıps, ho	ow like	ely are	the	
	ur expei	rience	es part	icipatii	ng in w	5 - somewhat likely	ıps, ho	ow like	ely are	the 9	10 - certair
	0 - not at		-			5 - somewhat			-		
You would recommend your peers (in your organization and beyond) to join a	0 - not at all likely	1	2	3	4	5 - somewhat likely	6	7	8	9	certair
You would recommend your peers (in your organization and beyond) to join a workgroup. You will continue to participate in the workgroup	0 - not at all likely	1	2	3	4	5 - somewhat likely	6	7 O	8	9	certair
You would recommend your peers (in your organization and beyond) to join a workgroup. You will continue to participate in the workgroup (s) you've identified above. You would join a new workgroup covering a topic relevant to you.	0 - not at all likely	1 ©	2	3 ©	4 ©	5 - somewhat likely	6 0	7 ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	8 0	9	certair
You would recommend your peers (in your organization and beyond) to join a workgroup. You will continue to participate in the workgroup (s) you've identified above. You would join a new workgroup covering a topic	0 - not at all likely	1 ©	2	3 ©	4 ©	5 - somewhat likely	6 0	7 ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	8 0	9	certair
You would recommend your peers (in your organization and beyond) to join a workgroup. You will continue to participate in the workgroup (s) you've identified above. You would join a new workgroup covering a topic relevant to you.	0 - not at all likely	1 ©	2	3 ©	4 ©	5 - somewhat likely	6 0	7 ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	8 0	9	certair

Have you implemented OSLC?

*19. Have you imp	plemented any OSLC spec	cifica	ations?
C Yes – as a consumer		0	Not yet – I expect to soon
C Yes – as a provider		0	Not yet – I am considering/investigating it
C Yes – as both a provider	and a consumer	0	No

Implementers - Specifications

20.	Which OSLC specifications have you imple	emo	ented as a consumer?
	Architecture Management v1		Core v2
	Architecture Management v2		Quality Management v1
	Asset Management v1		Quality Management v2
	Asset Management v2		Requirements Management v1
	Change Management v1		Requirements Management v2
	Change Management v2		Software Configuration Management v1
	Core v1		
21.	Which OSLC specifications have you imple	emo	ented as a producer?
	Architecture Management v1		Core v2
	Architecture Management v2		Quality Management v1
	Asset Management v1		Quality Management v2
	Asset Management v2		Requirements Management v1
	Change Management v1		Requirements Management v2
	Change Management v2		Software Configuration Management v1
	Core v1		

OSLC 2012 Community Survey **Implementers - Your Experience** 22. What were the primary reasons for implementing the specification? To integrate established tools with a product my company To integrate two (or more) 3rd-party products purchased ☐ To support specification finalization To integrate my product with 3rd party products To integrate products my company produces Other (please specify) 23. How would you rank the ease with which you accomplished the following? 1 - only with herioc 3 - average effort 5 - trivial effort N/A or Don't know effort 0 0 0 Understood the concepts of 0 Linked Data 0 0 0 Understood the scenarios, and how they were, addressed by the specification 0 0 Understood and could call a 0 0 RESTful API Understood and could 0 0 implement a RESTful API 0 0 Understood and could write SPARQL queries 0 0 0 0 0 0 Understood and could process SPARQL queries Implemented and OSLC Consumer Implemented and OSLC 0 0 0 0 0 Producer

4. Considering the ollowing help or h		hich you	implemented th	ne specifi	ication, how d	id the
	1 - more trouble than worth	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - surpassing all expectations	N/A or Don't know
Development tools to assist OSLC developers	O	0	O	0	O	O
Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members	O	О	0	O	0	0
Access to test suites (potentially existing mplementers of the "other side")	O	С	6	С	0	0
Tutorials on open- services.net	0	0	O	0	0	0
Your own experience in the specification development process	О	0	O	0	0	0
Other (please specify below)	0	0	O	0	0	0
Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup	O	O	О	O	O	0
Final specification document	О	0	O	O	О	O
Access to a reference mplementation	0	O	O	O	O	O
Other (if ranked above)						
25. Considering your rea(s), would help Access to a reference in	you the next	-		·	-	n which
Access to test suites (po	tentially existing impl	ementers of th	ne "other side")			
Development tools to as	sist OSLC developers	3				
Final specification docu	ment					
Personal experience in t	the specification deve	elopment proce	ess			
☐ Scenario descriptions ar	nd other documents d	leveloped by th	ne workgroup			
	forume to got assista	nce and clarific	ration from workgroup me	mbers		
Use of mailing lists and f	orums to get assistar	ioc and olarine	ation from workgroup me			

Access to test suites (potentially existing implementers of the "other side") Development tools to assist OSLC developers Final specification document Personal experience in the specification development process Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members	SLC 2012 Community Survey	
Access to a reference implementation Access to test suites (potentially existing implementers of the "other side") Development tools to assist OSLC developers Final specification document Personal experience in the specification development process Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members Other (please specify) Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else your		
Access to test suites (potentially existing implementers of the "other side") Development tools to assist OSLC developers Final specification document Personal experience in the specification development process Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members Other (please specify) Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else your		er ease?
Development tools to assist OSLC developers Final specification document Personal experience in the specification development process Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members Other (please specify) Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else your		
Final specification document Personal experience in the specification development process Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members Other (please specify) Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else your		
Personal experience in the specification development process Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members Other (please specify) Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else your		
Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members Other (please specify) Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else your	_	
Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members Other (please specify) Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else your		
Other (please specify) Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else you	_	
. Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else you	Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members	
	Other (please specify)	
		

OSLC 2012 Community Survey **Implementers - Other Integration Technologies** 28. What other integration technologies have you used / do you use? CORBA OSGi Custom/proprietary API SOAP ESB Other (please specify) 29. Which integration technologies do you prefer? 1 - not at all 3 - somewhat 4 5 - preference N/A or Don't know 0 0 0 0 SOAP 0 0 0 0 0 ESB CORBA Custom/proprietary API 0 0 0 OSLC 0 0 0 0 0 0 Other (specify below) 0 **OSGi** Other (if ranked above) 30. Considering your OSLC integrations, in comparisons to other integrations, how would you rank them with respect to these software qualities? 1 - poor 3 - average 5 - superior N/A or Don't know 0 0 0 0 Security 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reliability 0 0 0 Reusability 0 0 0 0 0 0 Scalability Debugability 0 0 Efficiency 0 0 Maintainability 0 0 0 0 0 0 Simplicity 31. Considering OSLC and other integration technologies with which you have experience, is there anything you would like to add?

nplementers - F	mai III	ougii	163								
2. Considering yo ollowing?	ur expei	ience	es impl	ementi	ing sp	ecificatio	ons, h	ow like	ely are	the	
	0 - not at all likely	1	2	3	4	5 - somewhat likely	6	7	8	9	10 - certai
ou would choose to nplement another OSLC pecification, if another ecame relevant to your ork?	O	0	0	O	О	O	C	O	С	О	С
ou would contribute cenarios to expand and/or nprove a specification you ave implemented?	O	0	O	O	0	O	O	0	O	0	O
ou would contribute cenarios towards a new OSLC specification to ddress an integration type nat is not currently addressed y OSLC?	Ō	0	O	O	0	О	0	O	O	0	0
3. Is there anythin	ng you'd	like t	o add ı	regardi	ing yo	ur exper	ience	impler	nentin	g	
pecifications?				_							
				<u> </u>							
				V							
				V							
				~							

OSLC 2012 Community Survey Do you use OSLC integrations? *34. Do you use, or have you used, a software solution that is integrated using OSLC? If you're not sure, take a look at some of the software that implements OSLC here: http://open-services.net/software/ C Yes, but not anymore C No Yes, currently O Don't know

35. Where have the OSLC integrations you've used come from? Built-in to 3rd-party software Don't know Custom-built integration Publically-available adapter to 3rd-party software 36. Considering your experience using products integrated with OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements?	35. Where have the OSLC integrations you've used come from? Built-in to 3rd-party software		nmunity S					
Built-in to 3rd-party software Custom-built integration Publically-available adapter to 3rd-party software 36. Considering your experience using products integrated with OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - completely N/A or Don't know improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. It was easy to start using the integration. I use the delegated UI	Built-in to 3rd-party software Custom-built integration Don't know Publically-available adapter to 3rd-party software 36. Considering your experience using products integrated with OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - completely N/A or Don't know improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	End-users						
Custom-built integration Publically-available adapter to 3rd-party software 36. Considering your experience using products integrated with OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements? 1 - not at all 2	Gustom-built integration Publically-available adapter to 3rd-party software 36. Considering your experience using products integrated with OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - completely N/A or Don't known improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me	35. Where have the	e OSLC integ	rations ye	ou've used com	e from?		
36. Considering your experience using products integrated with OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - completely N/A or Don't know. The integration gives me	36. Considering your experience using products integrated with OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - completely N/A or Don't know. The integration gives me	☐ Built-in to 3rd-party softv	vare		☐ Don't know			
you agree with the following statements? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - completely N/A or Don't know improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me time and effort. It was easy to start using the complete integration. I use the delegated UI complete integration saves me regularly 37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?	you agree with the following statements? 1 - not at all 2 3 - somewhat 4 5 - completely N/A or Don't know. The integration gives me	Custom-built integration			☐ Publically-	available ada	pter to 3rd-party softw	are
The integration gives me improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me time and effort. It was easy to start using the integration. I use the delegated UI (hover details) feature regularly 37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?	The integration gives me improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. It was easy to start using the integration. I use the delegated UI improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. To improve time and effort. I use the delegated UI improved traceability across artifacts and through my process.	36. Considering yo	ur experienc	e using p	roducts integrat	ted with	OSLC, to wha	t degree do
The integration gives me improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me time and effort. It was easy to start using the integration. I use the delegated UI (hover details) feature regularly 37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?	The integration gives me improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me time and effort. It was easy to start using the integration. I use the delegated UI (hover details) feature regularly 37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?	you agree with the	following statements?					
improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me time and effort. It was easy to start using the integration. I use the delegated UI hover details) feature regularly 37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?	improved traceability across artifacts and through my process. The integration saves me time and effort. It was easy to start using the integration. I use the delegated UI		1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - completely	N/A or Don't know
time and effort. It was easy to start using the c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	time and effort. It was easy to start using the C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	improved traceability across artifacts and through my	0	O	0	0	O	O
I use the delegated UI	I use the delegated UI		O	0	O	O	O	0
(hover details) feature regularly 37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?	(hover details) feature regularly 37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?		0	0	0	0	0	0
37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?	37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?	(hover details) feature	O	O	O	0	O	O
		would like to add?		4	Δ.			
					<u> </u>			

OSLC 2012 Community Survey **Demographic Questions** 38. In what country do you reside? (sorted by TLD) 39. How many years of relevant professional experience do you have? 11-15 years O None C Less than 2 years C 16-20 years More than 20 years C 2-5 years 6-10 years

40.	Which of the following most closely describes your industry?
0	Aerospace & Defense
0	Automotive
0	Banking
0	Chemicals & Petroleum
0	Computer Services
0	Consumer Products
0	Education
0	Electronics
0	Energy & Utilities
0	Exclusions
0	Financial Markets
0	Government, Central/Federal
0	Government, State/Provincial/Local
0	Healthcare
0	Industrial Products
0	Insurance
0	Life Sciences
0	Media & Entertainment
0	Professional Services
0	Retail
0	Telecommunications
0	Travel & Transportation
0	Wholesale Distribution & Services

OSLC 2012 Community Survey 41. What is your best estimate of how many employees work at your organization? O Individual, not affiliated with an organization C 250-499 500-999 1000-2499 2500-4999 0 10-19 0 20-49 5000-9999 C 50-99 10000-25000 0 100-249 >25000 42. Which of these titles best fits your role in the organization? Business Executive Business Manager/Professional C Chief Executive Officer C Chief Information Officer Education Professional Government Leader/Professional IT Manager IT Professional Press/Analyst Prospective Employee Student Other (please specify)

OSLC 2012 Community Survey	
Final Thoughts	
43. Thinking about OSLC, in general, Is there anything else you would like to add?	