

OSLC 2012 Community Survey

OSLC 2012 Community Survey



Welcome to the 2012 OSLC Community Survey.

The Survey consists of the following sections of questions:

- * Common Questions
- * Workgroup Participant Questions
- * Specification Implementer Questions
- * End-user Questions
- * Demographic Questions

If you don't identify yourself as a Participant, Implementer, or End-user, you will not be asked questions from those sections.

Even if you've never heard of OSLC until someone asked you to take this survey, your responses are still valuable to the OSLC Community.

Questions marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory. There are very few mandatory questions.

No personally identifying information will be collected in the Survey.

Complete Survey results will be made available through open-services.net.

Thank you for your participation.

Common - Awareness of OSLC

*1. Considering software tools, what's your organization's primary role?

- Tool integrator
- Tool provider
- Tool user

*2. When did you first hear about OSLC?

- 2008
- 2009
- 2010
- 2011
- 2012

*3. How have you heard/learnt about OSLC?

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Social media | <input type="checkbox"/> Friend |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Webcast | <input type="checkbox"/> Colleague |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I can't remember | <input type="checkbox"/> open-services.net |
| <input type="checkbox"/> jazz.net | <input type="checkbox"/> Business Partner |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Conference | <input type="checkbox"/> IBM Rational Innovate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> W3C | <input type="checkbox"/> Article/White Paper |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) | |

Common - About OSLC

4. Based on your understanding of OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements?

	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - completely	N/A or Don't know
OSLC integration techniques represent a significant improvement over traditional integration techniques.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
By using OSLC for software integrations, organizations realize cost savings.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
By using OSLC for software integrations, organizations improve their business outcomes.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
OSLC integrations help organizations implement leaner and/or more agile processes.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
By using OSLC for software integrations, organizations increase the value they produce.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
OSLC specifications are completely free for anyone to use.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
There are OSLC specifications to address the most important integration scenarios.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Common - About the OSLC Community

5. Based on your understanding of the OSLC Community, to what degree do you agree with the following statements?

	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - completely	N/A or Don't know
If I have questions about anything to do with OSLC, I know where I can get them answered.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I know what the goals and objectives of the OSLC Community are.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The processes and requirements for participation are acceptable.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Anyone can participate in specification development.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The OSLC Community has the right amount of governance.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The OSLC Community is open to new ideas and contributions from any member.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Do you participate in OSLC?

*6. When did you start participating in an OSLC workgroup?

- 2012
- 2011
- 2010
- 2009
- 2008
- I haven't participated in OSLC; I might in the future
- I have never considered participating in OSLC

Participants - Workgroups

7. In which workgroups have you participated?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Architecture Management | <input type="checkbox"/> Estimation and Measurement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asset Management | <input type="checkbox"/> Product Lifecycle Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Automation | <input type="checkbox"/> Quality Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Change Management | <input type="checkbox"/> Reporting |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Communications | <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Core | <input type="checkbox"/> Software Configuration Management |

8. Do you still participate in the workgroups that you selected above?

- | | |
|---|--------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Yes (all selected workgroups) | <input type="radio"/> No |
| <input type="radio"/> Yes (some of the selected workgroups) | |

Participants - Your Contributions

9. Thinking of all workgroups in which you have participated, in what ways have you contributed?

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Developing implementations | <input type="checkbox"/> Reviewing specifications |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Developing scenarios | <input type="checkbox"/> Selecting scenarios |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Developing specifications | <input type="checkbox"/> Writing reference documentation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) | |

10. Thinking of all workgroups in which you have participated, how well do you feel you were able to contribute?

	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - completely	N/A or Don't know
During scenario development?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
During scenario selection?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
During specification development?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
During specification review?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
During specification finalization (includes implementations)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Final specification promotion (includes writing reference documentation)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall, throughout the whole process?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. Considering your contributions to the workgroups in which you have participated, is there anything you'd like to add?

Participants - Tools and Collaboration

12. How useful have you found the following tools (either provided by open-services.net, or by workgroup participants) for workgroup collaboration?

	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - essential	N/A or Don't know
Mailing lists	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Forum	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Conference call	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Direct email	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Screen sharing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Wiki	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

13. Considering the collaborative effort of the workgroups in which you have participated, is there anything you'd like to add?

14. Which additional tools do you think would be useful for workgroup collaboration?

- Calendar
- Real-time collaborative editor (e.g. Google Docs)
- Instant Messaging/IRC
- Other (please specify)
- Blog
- Planner
- Work item/issue tracker

Participants - Outcomes

15. Considering specifications that have been finalized by workgroups in which you participated, how well do you think the final specification:

	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - completely	N/A or Don't know
Addressed the scenarios the workgroup selected at the beginning?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Met the needs of your organization?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Met the needs of the industry?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

16. Considering specifications that you have contributed to that have been finalized, is there anything you'd like to add?

17. Considering your experiences participating in workgroups, how likely are the following?

	0 - not at all likely	1	2	3	4	5 - somewhat likely	6	7	8	9	10 - certain
You would recommend your peers (in your organization and beyond) to join a workgroup.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
You will continue to participate in the workgroup (s) you've identified above.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
You would join a new workgroup covering a topic relevant to you.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

18. Is there anything you'd like to add regarding your experiences participating in workgroups?

Have you implemented OSLC?

*19. Have you implemented any OSLC specifications?

- Yes – as a consumer
- Yes – as a provider
- Yes – as both a provider and a consumer
- Not yet – I expect to soon
- Not yet – I am considering/investigating it
- No

Implementers - Specifications

20. Which OSLC specifications have you implemented as a consumer?

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Architecture Management v1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Core v2 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Architecture Management v2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Quality Management v1 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asset Management v1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Quality Management v2 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asset Management v2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Management v1 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Change Management v1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Management v2 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Change Management v2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Software Configuration Management v1 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Core v1 | |

21. Which OSLC specifications have you implemented as a producer?

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Architecture Management v1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Core v2 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Architecture Management v2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Quality Management v1 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asset Management v1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Quality Management v2 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asset Management v2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Management v1 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Change Management v1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Management v2 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Change Management v2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Software Configuration Management v1 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Core v1 | |

Implementers - Your Experience

22. What were the primary reasons for implementing the specification?

- To integrate established tools with a product my company purchased
- To integrate two (or more) 3rd-party products
- To integrate my product with 3rd party products
- To support specification finalization
- To integrate products my company produces
- Other (please specify)

23. How would you rank the ease with which you accomplished the following?

	1 - only with heroic effort	2	3 - average effort	4	5 - trivial effort	N/A or Don't know
Understood the concepts of Linked Data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Understood the scenarios, and how they were, addressed by the specification	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Understood and could call a RESTful API	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Understood and could implement a RESTful API	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Understood and could write SPARQL queries	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Understood and could process SPARQL queries	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Implemented and OSLC Consumer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Implemented and OSLC Producer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

OSLC 2012 Community Survey

24. Considering the ease with which you implemented the specification, how did the following help or hinder you?

	1 - more trouble than worth	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - surpassing all expectations	N/A or Don't know
Development tools to assist OSLC developers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Access to test suites (potentially existing implementers of the "other side")	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tutorials on open-services.net	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Your own experience in the specification development process	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please specify below)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Final specification document	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Access to a reference implementation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (if ranked above)

25. Considering your experience implementing specifications, improvements in which area(s), would help you the next time you implement a specification?

- Access to a reference implementation
- Access to test suites (potentially existing implementers of the "other side")
- Development tools to assist OSLC developers
- Final specification document
- Personal experience in the specification development process
- Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup
- Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members
- Other (please specify)

26. Considering your experience implementing specifications, improvements in which area(s), do you think, would help someone else do the same thing with greater ease?

- Access to a reference implementation
- Access to test suites (potentially existing implementers of the "other side")
- Development tools to assist OSLC developers
- Final specification document
- Personal experience in the specification development process
- Scenario descriptions and other documents developed by the workgroup
- Use of mailing lists and forums to get assistance and clarification from workgroup members
- Other (please specify)

27. Considering your experience implementing specifications, is there anything else you would like to add?

Implementers - Other Integration Technologies

28. What other integration technologies have you used / do you use?

- CORBA
 OSGi
 Custom/proprietary API
 SOAP
 ESB
 Other (please specify)

29. Which integration technologies do you prefer?

	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - preference	N/A or Don't know
SOAP	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
ESB	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CORBA	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Custom/proprietary API	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
OSLC	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (specify below)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
OSGi	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (if ranked above)

30. Considering your OSLC integrations, in comparisons to other integrations, how would you rank them with respect to these software qualities?

	1 - poor	2	3 - average	4	5 - superior	N/A or Don't know
Security	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reliability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reusability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Scalability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Debugability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Efficiency	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Maintainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Simplicity	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

31. Considering OSLC and other integration technologies with which you have experience, is there anything you would like to add?

Implementers - Final Thoughts

32. Considering your experiences implementing specifications, how likely are the following?

	0 - not at all likely	1	2	3	4	5 - somewhat likely	6	7	8	9	10 - certain
You would choose to implement another OSLC specification, if another became relevant to your work?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
You would contribute scenarios to expand and/or improve a specification you have implemented?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
You would contribute scenarios towards a new OSLC specification to address an integration type that is not currently addressed by OSLC?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

33. Is there anything you'd like to add regarding your experience implementing specifications?

Do you use OSLC integrations?

***34. Do you use, or have you used, a software solution that is integrated using OSLC?**

[
If you're not sure, take a look at some of the software that implements OSLC here:

<http://open-services.net/software/>

]

- Yes, currently Yes, but not anymore No Don't know

End-users

35. Where have the OSLC integrations you've used come from?

- Built-in to 3rd-party software
- Custom-built integration
- Don't know
- Publically-available adapter to 3rd-party software

36. Considering your experience using products integrated with OSLC, to what degree do you agree with the following statements?

	1 - not at all	2	3 - somewhat	4	5 - completely	N/A or Don't know
The integration gives me improved traceability across artifacts and through my process.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The integration saves me time and effort.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
It was easy to start using the integration.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I use the delegated UI (hover details) feature regularly	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

37. Considering your experience using OSLC integrations, is there anything else you would like to add?

Demographic Questions

38. In what country do you reside?

(sorted by TLD)

39. How many years of relevant professional experience do you have?

- None
- Less than 2 years
- 2-5 years
- 6-10 years
- 11-15 years
- 16-20 years
- More than 20 years

40. Which of the following most closely describes your industry?

- Aerospace & Defense
- Automotive
- Banking
- Chemicals & Petroleum
- Computer Services
- Consumer Products
- Education
- Electronics
- Energy & Utilities
- Exclusions
- Financial Markets
- Government, Central/Federal
- Government, State/Provincial/Local
- Healthcare
- Industrial Products
- Insurance
- Life Sciences
- Media & Entertainment
- Professional Services
- Retail
- Telecommunications
- Travel & Transportation
- Wholesale Distribution & Services

41. What is your best estimate of how many employees work at your organization?

- | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Individual, not affiliated with an organization | <input type="radio"/> 250-499 |
| <input type="radio"/> <5 | <input type="radio"/> 500-999 |
| <input type="radio"/> 5-9 | <input type="radio"/> 1000-2499 |
| <input type="radio"/> 10-19 | <input type="radio"/> 2500-4999 |
| <input type="radio"/> 20-49 | <input type="radio"/> 5000-9999 |
| <input type="radio"/> 50-99 | <input type="radio"/> 10000-25000 |
| <input type="radio"/> 100-249 | <input type="radio"/> >25000 |

42. Which of these titles best fits your role in the organization?

- Business Executive
- Business Manager/Professional
- Chief Executive Officer
- Chief Information Officer
- Education Professional
- Government Leader/Professional
- Investor
- IT Manager
- IT Professional
- Press/Analyst
- Prospective Employee
- Student

Other (please specify)

Final Thoughts

43. Thinking about OSLC, in general, Is there anything else you would like to add?

